

# I P S B

---

## INDIANA PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS BOARD

TO: Members, Indiana Professional Standards Board

FROM: Ena Shelley, Acting Chair  
Teacher Education Committee

Date: November 20, 2002

RE: Minutes of September 6, 2002 Meeting

Members Present: Pat Swails, Judy Briganti, Marilyn Watkins, Callie Marksberry, Ena Shelly Sharon Pitcock

Staff Present: Mary Glenn Rinne, Judy Miller

Others Present: William Wheatley, Dr. Anabel Newman, Sr. Jane Schilling, Onadia Goens, Anita Funches, Sue Van Wagner, Tom Enneking, Dr. David Jones, Dr. Lynn Penland, Dr. Bernard Marley, Dr. Earlene Holland, Dr. Jennifer Barce, Bonnie Zimmer

- I. Consultations with representatives of education stakeholders: Representatives from institutions spoke to the committee deliberations on approval of either:
  - A. Institutional accreditation,
  - B. Preconditions for initial teacher preparation program, or
  - C. Proposed new programs

### II. Approval of June 7, 2002 Minutes

The minutes were amended to show the name of one person from Goshen College attending the meeting and the addition of the email message conveyed after the June 7<sup>th</sup> meeting regarding Oakland City University's new program proposal for Technical Education. Pat Swails moved and Sharon Pitcock seconded the acceptance of the minutes as amended.  
Unanimous

### III. State Accreditation

State accreditation was discussed for Tri-State University. Due to the unusual circumstances surrounding the NCATE denial of initial accreditation after appeal, the TEC discussed the issue of NCATE's definition of scholarship (Standard 5) as it related to the institution's mission. Dr. Judy Miller, state observer, spoke to the onsite BOE process. Callie Marksberry moved and Judy Briganti seconded acceptance of the original BOE report and recommended approval of continuing accreditation with conditions at the state level to Tri-State University.  
Unanimous.

IV. UAS Formative Review -- Phase 2

Mary Glenn Rinne explained the trial Phase 2 panel review process and shared the resulting report for Franklin College. Feedback indicated that the process was positive the institution and the panel. It was agreed that a letter of appreciation should be sent to the IACTE for their assistance in designing the process.

V. October 15<sup>th</sup> Reports

It was agreed that the process for review of the October 15<sup>th</sup> institutional reports would be to divide the documents among the TEC members for review. Members would discuss reports of concern at the next TEC meeting.

VI. Martin University Preconditions

It had been explained by email, prior to the meeting, that the TEC would read the preconditions document and invite discussion from a representative team from Martin University. This was done, because the TEC agenda was full at the time of the submission of the preconditions. During the discussion, several suggestions were made regarding the conceptual framework, knowledge base supporting the conceptual framework, and unit assessment system. Further assistance was offered by individual committee members for the development of the preconditions document. Dr. Jones agreed to copy additional preconditions documents, separate by precondition, and return them to Mary Glenn Rinne for mail distribution to the TEC for further consideration. It was agreed that an October meeting would be convened to vote on Martin University's preconditions. October 7<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> were the suggested dates for this meeting. Mary Glenn was to contact Dr. Jones with the exact date and time for this meeting. A summary letter of the discussion with TEC members and Martin University faculty was to be typed and sent to Dr. Jones.

VII. New Program Approvals

A. University of Evansville: Teaching English as a New Language, Initial Level

Dr. Lynn Penland presented the program to the committee and answered questions.

Standard 1: Judy Briganti moved approval and Callie Marksberry seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 2: Marilyn Watkins moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 3: Pat Swails moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 4: Callie Marksberry moved approval and Judy Briganti seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 5: Pat Swails moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 6: Callie Marksberry moved approval and Pat Swails seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 7: Judy Briganti moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 8: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Callie Marksberry seconded. Unanimous

B. Oakland City University: District Administrator -- Superintendent, Advanced Level

Pat Swails represented the institution with two other colleagues and, therefore, recused herself from the vote.

Standard 1: Judy Briganti moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 2: Callie Marksbarry moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 3: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Marilyn Watkins seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 4: Judy Briganti moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 5: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 6: Callie Marksbarry moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 7: Judy Briganti moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 8: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Marilyn Watkins seconded. Unanimous

C. Oakland City University: Career/Technical Education—Trade and Industrial, Initial Level

Pat Swails represented the institution with two other colleagues and, therefore, recused herself from the vote. This program will be limited to the program areas of Automotive Services, Welding Technology and Heating, Air Conditioning and Refrigeration.

Standard 1: Marilyn Watkins moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded.

Unanimous Standard 2: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Judy Briganti seconded.  
Unanimous

Standard 3: Judy Briganti moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous

Standard 4: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous

Standard 5: Callie Marksbarry moved approval and Marilyn Watkins seconded.

Unanimous Standard 6: Judy Briganti moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded.  
Unanimous

Standard 7: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous

Standard 8: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Marilyn Watkins seconded. Unanimous

(This was amended to incorporate the CAD training under Career/Technical Education.)

D. Oakland City University: Technology Education

Pat Swails represented the institution with two other colleagues and, therefore, recused herself from the vote. The committee agreed that several of the International Technology Education Association (ITEA) standards were not addressed in the program proposal.

Judy Briganti moved that the new program proposal be tabled. Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous

(This was subsumed as an area under Career/Technical Education in VI.-C. above.)

E. St. Joseph's College: Art Education

Dr. Jennifer Barce and Bonnie Zimmer presented the program to the committee and answered questions.

Standard 1: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Pat Swails seconded. Unanimous

Standard 2: Judy Briganti moved approval and Marilyn Watkins seconded. Unanimous

Standard 3: Pat Swails moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous

Standard 4: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Callie Marksbarry seconded. Unanimous

Standard 5: Sharon Pitcock moved approval and Marilyn seconded. Unanimous

Standard 6: Callie Marksbarry moved approval and Judy Briganti seconded. Unanimous

Standard 7: Pat Swails moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous  
Standard 8: Judy Briganti moved approval and Sharon Pitcock seconded. Unanimous

It was recommended that a FAQ page be developed to give to institutions submitting new program proposals that would provide information about the kinds of supporting evidence that would be helpful at the presentation of an institution's program to the TEC. This will be drafted by Mary Glenn for the next TEC meeting.

It was decided that institutions may submit new program proposals at any time to the TEC during the 2002-2003 academic year. Beginning in Fall 2003 new program proposals must be submitted by the November 1<sup>st</sup> or May 1<sup>st</sup> deadlines. Proposals will be considered on a first come, first served basis and as the TEC meeting agenda allows.

## VII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:10 P.M.